Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/531,585	ROBINET ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Erick R. Solis	3747
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Erick R. Solis.	(3)	
(2) Nicolas Seckel.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 March 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		·
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
EIR Sti Primary Examiner		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated to applicant that the application was essentially in condition for allowance, except for the existence of certain terms in some of the claims which made their scope vague. In particular, claims 2,3,4,5,8,13,15,16,and 17 included language reciting "preferably" and a narrower range within a range. Applicant's representative agreed to fax in an amendment to correct said improper language. The examiner indicated that upon receipt of said amendment the application would be allowed.